Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C.

Employment and Litigation Attorneys

60 East 42nd St. Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165 Telephone: (212) 317-1200 Facsimile: (212) 317-1620

January 21, 2020

VIA ECF

Hon, Vincent L Briccetti

United States District Court, Southern District of New York

The Hon. Charles L. Brieant Jr. Federal Building and United States Courthouse

300 Quarropas St.

White Plains, NY 10601-4150

Re:

Herrera Isidoro, et al. v. La Fonda Restaurant, and Tapas Bar Inc., et al.

18-cv-5066 (VB)

Dear Judge Briccetti:

We hereby request an informal conf

Your Honor's Individual Practice

defendants Jorge Ayala and Den

Plaintiff served interrogator

defendants on or about November

Exhibit A. To date, Defendants have

This is the case even though the Cou

Pursuant to the Court's January 6, 2020 Order (Doc. #46), We represent Plaintiff Raque defendants' deadline to respond to the letter motion is January 31, 2020, at which time the Court will decide how to proceed.

Plaintiff's counsel is reminded of its obligation to serve all papers on opposing parties. Because here, defendants are pro se, defendants do not receive notifications through the Court's Electronic Case Filing system and accordingly, interrogatories served by Plaintiff, an plaintiff's counsel must file proof of service on the docket.

> The Clerk is instructed to mail a copy of this Order and the underlying letter motion with Exhibit A (Docs. ##49, 49-1) to pro se defendants Jorge Ayala and Denisse Ayala Garcia at their addresses listed on the docket and terminate Doc. #49.

Vincent L. Briccetti, U.S.D.J.,

requests and interrogatories shall be responded to by November 26, 2019 and all discovery shall

be completed by December 31, 2019. (Dkt. No. 41) The undersigned had reminded Defendants

of their obligation to respond to the outstanding discovery several times by email and telephone in

December. On a telephone call on or about December 23, 2019 with James Gonzalez, a business

Case 7:18-cv-05066-VB-DCF Document 49 Filed 01/21/20 Page 2 of 2

partner of Defendants and the (non-attorney) individual who has taken a proactive role in assisting

Defendants, the undersigned was told that production would be forthcoming. However, no written

responses were provided. Defendants did produce a single document: a purported report of the

dates and time of credit card transactions at a cash register. Because Defendants did not respond

in writing, Plaintiff does not know if there are other documents responsive to Plaintiff's requests

which have not been produced.

Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court compel the Defendants to respond to the

interrogatories and documents requests in writing and produce any responsive documents, upon

penalty of other sanctions provided for by Rule 37 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,

including preclusion of evidence and testimony and striking of Defendants' answer if they fail to

comply with the court's order. Plaintiff also requests pursuant to Rule 37 that Defendants pay

Plaintiff's attorneys' fees for the making of this motion.

The parties met and conferred by telephone and in person at the January 6, 2020 Court

conference.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/Joshua S. Androphy

Joshua S. Androphy